Annual (April 1, 2014 to March 31, 2015) Performance Evaluation Report in respect of RFD 2014-2015 of RCs i.e. SMDs

Name of the Division: Horticultural Science Division

RFD Nodal Officer: Dr. B. K. Pandey

							,	Target /	Criteria	Value		Consol idated			Percent achieveme	*Reasons for shortfalls or excessive
S.N o.	Objective(s)	Wei ght	Action(s)	Success Indicator(s)	Un it	Wei ght	Excell ent 100%	Very Good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%	achieve ments	Raw Score	Weight ed Score	nts against Target values of 90% Col.	achievements, if applicable
1	Utilizing frontier research in identified areas / programs for better	52	Collection , characteri zation and conservati on of genetic resources	Germplasm collected (horticultural crops)	Nu mb er	14	460	400	340	280	220	475	100	14	118.8	Due to favorable weather conditions during the first half of the year 2014-2015. Maximum number of germplasm accession were collected.
	genetic exploitati on		Evaluation of genetic resources / improved varieties for suitable crop husbandry practices	Breeder seed produced (horticultural crops)	Qu int al	11	3675	3625	3575 0	352 50	347 50	34839.4	61.79	6.8	96.1	
			Productio n of breeder	Planting materials produced	Nu mb er	15	41	39	37	35	33	41.0040 7	100	15	105.14	

		seed, other seeds and planting materials Developm		(in lak hs)	12	22	20	18	16	14	25	100	12	125.0	Last year three varieties
		ent or improved varieties suited to diverse agro ecologies	developed (horticultural crops)	mb er	12	22	20	10	10	14		150			were in pipe line for release but could not be released and released during 2014-15
2	Productio and technolog ies for high yield and	Development or production & protection technologi	technologies developed	Nu mb er	15	15	14	13	12	11	20	100	15	142.9	Last year production technologies were under demonstration at farmers field and only after successful results they were released during 2014-15
	quality	es	Protection technologies developed	Nu mb er	10	7	6	5	4	3	9	100	10	150.0	Last year protection technologies were under demonstration at farmers field and only after successful results they were released during 2014-15
			Organizing training and demonstration s	Nu mb er	10	45	42	39	36	34	47	100	10	111.9	More number of training were conducted as per the request for other State Horticulture Department
*	Efficient Sunctioning of the RFD	Timely submissio n of Draft RFD for	On-time submission	Da te	2	May 15, 2014	May 16, 2014	May 19, 2014	Ma y 20, 201	Ma y 21, 201	April 21, 2014	100	2		

	T ~	1	1 201 1 201 7	1			1		1				ı		1	
	System		2014-2015							4	4					
			for													
			Approval													
			Timely	On-time	Da	1	May	May	May	Ma	Ma	April	100	1		
			submissio	submission	te	1	1	2	5	y 6	y 7	7,				
				Subillission	ic		2014		2014	201	y /	2014				
							2014	2014	2014		201	2014				
			Results							4	4					
			for 2013-													
			2014													
*	Enhanced	3	Rating	Degree of	%	2	100	95	90	85	80	100	100	2		
	Transpar		from	implementatio												
	ency /		Independe	n of												
	Improved		nt Audit	commitments												
	Service		of	in CCC												
				III CCC												
	delivery		implement													
	of		ation of													
	Ministry/		Citizens' /													
	Departme		Clients'													
	nt		Charter													
			(CCC)													
			Independe	Degree of	%	1	100	95	90	85	80	100	100	1		
			nt Audit	success in	/0	1	100			0.5	00			_		
			of Audit													
				implementing												
			implement	GRM												
			ation of													
			Grievance													
			Redress													
			Managem													
			ent													
			(GRM)													
			system				1									

*	Administ rative Reforms	7	Update organizati onal strategy to align with revised priorities	Date	Da te	2	Nov.1 2014	Nov. 2 2014	Nov. 3 2014	Nov .4 201 4	Nov .5 201 4	Oct., 31, 2014	100	2		
			Implement ation of agreed milestones of approved Mitigating Strategies for Reduction of potential risk of corruption (MSC).	% of Implementatio n	%	1	100	90	80	70	60	100	100	1		
			Implement ation of agreed milestones for ISO 9001	implementatio n	%	2	100	95	90	85	80	100	100	2		
			Implement ation of milestones of	% of implementation	%	2	100	90	80	70	60	100	100	2		

approved Innovation Action Plans (IAPs).						

Total Composite Score: 95.80

Rating:- Excellent

Procedure for computing the Weighted and Composite Score

- 1. Weighted Score of a Success Indicator = Weight of the corresponding Success Indicator x Raw Score / 100
- 2. Total Composite Score = Sum of Weighted Scores of all the Success Indicators

*Mandatory